



Abstract Adjudication Form

Abstract number:			Reviewer/I am reviewing this from the lens of researcher/clinician/corporate services/family voice:			
Lead Presenter:						
The presenter/s is/are (select all that apply)	☐ Trainee undergraduate /graduate student, postdoctoral fellow, research assistant	☐ Family member or individual/s with lived experience	☐ Researcher (faculty, research associate)	☐ Clinician	☐ Director/ Manager /Subject Expert	☐ Other
Presentation preference	☐ Presentation		☐ Poster		☐ Either	
Type of abstract	☐ Artificial intelligence / Innovation ☐ Clinical best practice / Integrated care / Diagnostics / Neurodiversity ☐ Corporate culture / Organizational excellence / EDI / Cultural approaches / Indigenous approaches ☐ Family and youth engagement / Other ☐ If other:					



Abstract Adjudication Form

The lead presenter submitted another abstract	☐ Yes, indicate Abstract number - ____ ☐ No
---	--

Abstract Evaluation

	1	2	3	4	5		Score (1-5)
<u>Novelty</u> The abstract poses a novel question or provides a novel approach to a problem	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Importance in Field</u> The abstract makes a significant advance to the field / addresses a knowledge gap/potential to contribute to sector conversations	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Applicability/Community Impact</u> The abstract is clinically applicable or demonstrates potential to influence practice/timelines and relevance of the topic to current sector and professional trends and advances	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	

Abstract Adjudication Form

<u>Clarity of Abstract</u> The abstract conveys a clear understanding of what will be presented	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Rigour</u> I am confident that this study/abstract is grounded in a rigorous and scientific manner and/or in strong clinical reasoning and experience	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Format</u> The proposed format aligns with the goals of the abstract/creativity in interaction with delegates	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Type</u> The proposed presentation is unique and/or offers a different experience than a traditional research presentation.	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
<u>Career Stage</u> The presenters offer a different or unique perspective based on their career stage.	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	

Abstract Adjudication Form

<u>Geography and Demographic</u> The proposal reflects or focuses on an area, demographic, geographic location or population that is underrepresented or less represented in the child development sector	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't feel confident answering	
Total score (Note: please do not tally total score if any section is incomplete)							/45

Please don't calculate the total score if any section/s is/are incomplete. Abstracts with outstanding total scores will be discussed by the Conference Committee.

Review Summary

- ☐ Reject the abstract
- ☐ Accept for Poster
- ☐ Accept for Presentation
- ☐ Pending questions for lead presenter

General Rationale for Recommendation (1-3 sentences):